



Detail

Complaint Number: 772

Immigration Judge: (b)(6)

Complaint Received Date: 05/21/13

Current ACLJ
McGoings, Michael C.

Base City
(b)(6)

Status
CLOSED

Final Action
Complaint dismissed as
frivolous

Final Action Date
06/20/13

Past ACJS:

A-Numbers(s)	Complaint Nature(s)	Respondent	Complaint Source(s)
(b)(6)	In-court conduct	(b)(6)	
		(b)(6)	

Complaint Narrative: Respondent dissatisfied with decision of IJ. Respondent did not appeal the decision.

Complaint History	
06/20/13	Complaint dismissed as frivolous
06/20/13	Database entry created

Sep 11, 2013

1 of 1

Moutinho, Deborah (EOIR)

From: (b) (6)
Sent: Tuesday, May 21, 2013 10:50 AM
To: IJConduct, EOIR (EOIR)
Subject: Fw: COMPLAINT / WAIVER, REPRIEVE & RESTORATIION OF BENEFITS. ALIEN # A (b) (6)

From: (b) (6)
Sent: Sunday, May 19, 2013 4:43 PM
To: EOIR.IJConduct@usdoj.gov
Cc: (b) (6)
Subject: COMPLAINT / WAIVER, REPRIEVE & RESTORATIION OF BENEFITS. ALIEN # A (b) (6)

(b) (6)

Sir/Madam,

In 2008, I lodged this complaint underneath against her HON. JUDGE (b) (6) addressed to (b) (6) (b) (6) immigration court house address and copied to the offices of the following Statesmen and Women but had no response to date. They are: (1) Office of The Chief Counsel (b) (6) (b) (6) (2) The Special Counsel, US.Dept. Of Justice,Civil Rights Div.,950 Pennsylvania Ave.,NW.,Washington D.C.20530.(b) (6)

(b) (6)

I am very much obliged to attempt to copy the same today (Dated Sept., 16 2008) and directed to your office, reassured that it will be addressed and appropriate action taken.

On the anniversary of this momentous occasion, when the immigrant ship, 'MAY FLOWER', set sail from Europe to the coast of Massachussets, U.S.A., in Sept., of 1620, the rest as we all know, is history. However, the ripple effect of this unforgettable event continue to affect our lives to this day, agitating the very psyche of all Americans, whether native born or otherwise, anytime it is referenced. I have witnessed this for the past fifteen years (15 YRS) whilst legally admitted and employed. I had spent my youthful exuberance abiding by all laws,willingly of the Land of Freedom.

Simultaneously, on the eve of my involuntary-voluntary departure from the U.S.A., Oct. 8, 2007, I continue to express my dissatisfaction against the ruling of Her Hon., Judge (b) (6) and wish to lodge this protest, as any law abiding American Citizen would do. (OBEY BEFORE COMPLAIN) so a waiver and reprieve could be entered on my behalf and all benefits restored to a foreign model American Citizen such as me.

Whilst I do not honestly wanna bore all interested parties, with the very lengthy chronological events of my case, I would respectfully crave the indulgence of whoever cares to listen and read, to do so with rapt attention during this run through, real quick. At the end of it all, it is the image of America's Justice system which is at stake. It is only fair, practical and humane for me to be treated as such.

Flashback 1992. As a young broadcaster in my prime, fled to the U.S.A., from one of the fiercest African military juntas led by the over zealous air force FLT. LT. J.J. RAWLINGS. to seek asylum. Applicant was credible. In 1997, applicant gets seriously hurt on the job whilst legally employed. Undergoes a debilitating lower back surgery. No compensation paid anyway. Meanwhile, absolutely no response from the former I.N.S., all that period. Work authorization renewed and granted every year. In 2001, employer files labor certification on applicant's behalf based on excellent rapport, good salesmanship, loyalty and exemplary service. Same year, applicant discovers serious blunders in the files of the former I.N.S., whilst in Broadcast school, (b) (6)

(b) (6) Fight between applicant and the former government agency (I.N.S.) ensues for six yrs. Surprisingly, labor certification application gets remanded after three years from the labor certification office in (b) (6) for wage discrepancy to be corrected and returned for processing. Unfortunately, benefactor reneges at this juncture. Applicant pitifully laid off. Expenses incurred and immigration attorneys' services all these years, unimaginable. Non was fruitful. Case forwarded to State Senators' offices where applicant had lived also. Virtually, everything in the proverbial book which needed to be done was pursued amidst terrible anxieties and emotions. Coincidentally, Senator (b) (6) (b) (6) nailed my case to the target in his speech on the senate floor on March 23 of 2007 during the immigration debate, prior to applicant's appearance before Judge (b) (6) dully represented, for no crime anyway. Applicant would have been better off to represent oneself (Ref. Paragraph 4., Senate floor statement of Senator (b) (6) Grassley Amendment on Visa Revocation. Wednesday, May 23 2007.) (Applicant has no formal link to the office of the said Hon. Senator, then)

As a Mum and a descendant of (b) (6) immigrants herself, to all intents and purposes, the least the Hon. Judge could have done for me was to grant my wish with retrospective effect. To rule that my Asylum case had been denied in 2007 and that I had accepted voluntary departure, or I have a month to appeal that decision, leaves a whole lot to be desired. I did not just arrive in the U.S.A., in 2007. Besides the fact that the proceedings have been very stressful and costly, there was no guarantee that I would turn out the victor during the appeal. I did not have the money any more. I wouldn't have to live with that stigma for good?. Life is very short. The former Immigration & Naturalization Service, had erred messing up my files in 1992 and never owned up. I still have proof. That is very suicidal indeed. Like I'd always argued from the lay man's point of view that if this were to be a criminal case I would have been incarcerated or taken to the goals, if the crime committed, so to speak, warranted such a punishment. Too late then, right?. Figuratively, what else under the sun would 'assuage' a conviction of a crime in absentia when the convict is apprehended later on ?. It is definitely not the 'change of times'. 'I did not commit any crime in the U.S.A., then go underground'. The law is the law and its cause must be applied to eternity. After all, the District Counsel, Representative of the U.S.A., during my only court appearance in (b) (6) in 2007, had absolutely no doubts about my case. So did the Judge avow also. So have I been in front of any one since 1992. What else did I have to prove to Judge (b) (6). After 15 very difficult years and all the toil and sweats, bracing up in all the snow storms, sleet, hail, rain and vehicular accidents to serve America?. Having even stood with the U.S.A., on September 11 of 2001, during the terrorists attacks on the main land and the Washington area sniper shoot out?. I neither committed

any crime of moral turpitude nor shirked my tax obligations all these years?. Quite an experience. I pride myself to have lived an exemplary life. Just as I was trying to excel, I became bedeviled with this unfortunate decision by the JUDGE, taking the wind out of my sail.

Thus, for the sake of natural justice, as complicated as my case had been, Her Hon. Judge (b) (6) should have done better than that for me. I would still remain what I am, honest, industrious, studious, law abiding and always strive for the best in the West. I yield the rest of my time for brainstorming sessions in your domain and looking forward for an expeditious response. Thanks.

Immigration Judge Complaint Intake Form

HQ Use Only:
complaint #: _____
source: first / subsequent

Date Received at OCIJ: _____

complaint source information	
complaint source type	
<input type="checkbox"/> anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> BIA <input type="checkbox"/> Circuit <input type="checkbox"/> EOIR <input type="checkbox"/> DHS <input type="checkbox"/> Main Justice <input type="checkbox"/> respondent's attorney <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> respondent <input type="checkbox"/> OIL <input type="checkbox"/> OPR <input type="checkbox"/> OIG <input type="checkbox"/> media <input type="checkbox"/> third party (e.g., relative, uninterested attorney, courtroom observer, etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> other: _____	
complaint receipt method	
<input type="checkbox"/> letter <input type="checkbox"/> IJC memo (BIA) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> email <input type="checkbox"/> phone (incl. voicemail) <input type="checkbox"/> in-person <input type="checkbox"/> fax <input type="checkbox"/> unknown <input type="checkbox"/> other: _____	
date of complaint source (i.e., date on letter, date of appellate body's decision)	complaint source contact information
5-21-2013	name: _____ address: _____ email: _____ phone: _____ phone: _____
additional complaint source details (i.e., DHS component, media outlet, third party details, A-number)	(b) (6)

complaint details		
IJ name	base city	ACIJ
(b) (6)		
relevant A-number(s)	date of incident	
(b) (6)	2007	
allegations		
Respondent dissatisfied with decision of IJ (b) (6) Respondent did not appeal decision.		
nature of complaint		
<input type="checkbox"/> in-court conduct <input type="checkbox"/> out-of-court conduct <input type="checkbox"/> due process <input type="checkbox"/> bias <input type="checkbox"/> legal <input type="checkbox"/> criminal <input type="checkbox"/> incapacity <input type="checkbox"/> other: _____		

