The Honorable James L. Robart 1 United States District Judge 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 AT SEATTLE 8 Case No. 2:15-cv-00813-JLR NORTHWEST IMMIGRANT RIGHTS 9 PROJECT and THE ADVOCATES FOR DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STAY **HUMAN RIGHTS**; 10 SUMMARY JUDGMENT PROCEEDINGS OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE TO RESET Marvella ARCOS-PEREZ, Carmen OSORIO-11 DEFENDANTS' TIME TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION BALLESTEROS, and W.H., Individually and 12 on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, NOTE ON CALENDAR: August 14, 2015 13 Plaintiffs, 14 v. 15 UNITED STATES CITIZENSHIP AND 16 IMMIGRATION SERVICES: UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND 17 SECURITY; Leon RODRIGUEZ, Director, 18 U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services; Jeh JOHNSON, Secretary, U.S. Department of 19 Homeland Security, 20 Defendants. 21 Defendants United States Citizenship and Immigration Services, United States 22 Department of Homeland Security, Leon Rodriquez, and Jeh Johnson, in their official capacities, 23 hereby move for a stay of proceedings on Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 24 24). Plaintiffs' summary judgment motion is premature as Defendants have not had time to file a 25 responsive pleading to the Complaint (ECF No. 1) or the Motion for Class Certification (ECF 26 No. 5), and other pretrial proceedings have not been had. 27 28 U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division

> Office of Immigration Litigation P.O. Box 868, Ben Franklin Station Washington, D.C. 20044 (202) 305-4193

6

9

1112

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

2122

23 24

25

26

27

28

On May 22, 2015, Plaintiffs filed their Complaint and a Motion for Class Certification, setting the noting date at June 19, 2015. On May 27, 2015, Plaintiffs served those documents on the United States' Attorney's Office for the Western District of Washington. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12, Defendants' responsive pleading to the Complaint is due on July 27, 2015.

Beginning on May 29, 2015 and continuing through June 16, 2015, counsel for Defendants conferred at length with counsel for Plaintiffs by telephone and email on scheduling matters—including briefing schedules and noting dates for both the dispositive motion Defendants likely would file in response to the Complaint and the class certification motion—and Plaintiffs' proposed motion for a protective order. The parties agreed and stipulated to a briefing schedule (ECF No. 20) for the pending class certification motion and the probable motion to dismiss, which Defendants likely would file on July 27, 2015, the answer deadline. In addition, Defendants did not oppose Plaintiffs' Motion for a Protective Order (ECF No. 22).

Surprisingly, despite the detailed communications between counsel on scheduling matters, Plaintiffs' counsel made no mention to Defendants' counsel of any summary judgment motion until filing it on July 2, 2015, the eve of a Federal holiday weekend, at 4:43 p.m. Pacific time (7:43 p.m. Eastern time). Counsel for Defendants have attempted to come to an agreement with Plaintiffs on re-scheduling summary judgment briefing so that the Court can get the necessary information in the most logical way and in the sequence the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure recognize. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 at Advisory Committee Notes 2010 Amendments Subdivision (b). The parties, however, were unable to come to an agreement.

Defendants submit that the Court should decide their motion to dismiss (which will be filed on the answer deadline) and Plaintiffs' motion for class certification prior to any litigation of Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment. Once the motion to dismiss and class certification

¹ Due to the nature of the case, the complexity of the issues raised, and the need for multilevel supervisory review, Defendants will require additional time to file their Motion to Dismiss and Class Certification Motion. The parties have agreed and filed a stipulation (ECF No. 26) for Defendants to have a fourteen-day extension (to August 10, 2015) to file their planned Motion to Dismiss in response to the Complaint and opposition to the Class Certification Motion.

Case 2:15-cv-00813-JLR Document 27 Filed 07/24/15 Page 3 of 8

motion are resolved, to the extent Plaintiffs' claims survive, the parties can submit a stipulated proposed discovery plan outlining deadlines for any discovery that needs to be conducted, as well as a deadline for briefing motions for summary judgment. This sequence will enable the Court to get to the merits in due time after first addressing jurisdictional and other threshold issues. To the extent Plaintiffs' summary judgment motion raises legal issues, those issues may be addressed by the Court's adjudication of Defendants' planned motion to dismiss.

In the alternative, Defendants request that the Court set a scheduling order that would

give them sufficient time to appropriately respond to Plaintiffs' summary judgment motion. Due to the nature of the case, the complexity of the issues raised, and the need for multi-level supervisory review at the Department of Justice and the United States Citizenship and Immigration Services, which has an interest in the outcome of the case and would have to implement the Court's decision, Defendants request, in the alternative, that the Court set their time to respond to the summary judgment motion thirty days (30) days after the Court's ruling on the present motion.

Case 2:15-cv-00813-JLR Document 27 Filed 07/24/15 Page 4 of 8

1	DATED: July 24, 2015	Respectfully submitted,
2		
3		BENJAMIN C. MIZER Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General
5		WILLIAM C. PEACHEY Director
6		
7		JEFFREY S. ROBINS Assistant Director
8 9		<u>s/ Sarah Maloney</u> SARAH MALONEY CRAIG DEFOE
10		Trial Attorneys
11		U.S. Department of Justice Civil Division
12		Office of Immigration Litigation
13		District Court Section P.O. Box 868, Ben Franklin Station
14		Washington, D.C. 20044
		Phone: (202) 305-4193 Fax: (202) 305-7000
15		Email: Sarah.Maloney2@usdoj.gov
16		
17		Attorneys for Defendants
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on July 24, 2015, I electronically filed the foregoing DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STAY SUMMARY JUDGMENT PROCEEDINGS with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF. I also certify that the foregoing document should automatically be served this day on all counsel of record *via* transmission of Notices of Electronic Filing generated by CM/ECF.

s/ Sarah Maloney_

SARAH MALONEY Trial Attorney U.S. Department of Justice

The Honorable James L. Robart 1 United States District Judge 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 AT SEATTLE 8 Case No. 2:15-cv-00813-JLR NORTHWEST IMMIGRANT RIGHTS 9 PROJECT, et al., [PROPOSED] ORDER 10 Plaintiffs, 11 v. 12 UNITED STATES CITIZENSHIP AND 13 IMMIGRATION SERVICES, et al., 14 Defendants. 15 [Proposed] ORDER 16 Upon consideration of Defendants' Motion to Stay, the motion is GRANTED. 17 Summary judgment proceedings are stayed until the Court has decided Defendants' 18 motion to dismiss and Plaintiffs' motion for class certification. Once the motion to dismiss and 19 class certification motion are resolved, to the extent Plaintiffs' claims survive, the parties shall 20 submit within thirty (30) days therefrom a stipulated proposed discovery plan outlining deadlines 21 for any discovery that needs to be conducted, as well as a deadline for briefing motions for 22 summary judgment. 23 24 Upon Consideration of Defendants' Motion in the Alternative to Reset Defendants' Time 25 to Respond to Plaintiffs' Motion, the motion is GRANTED. 26 Defendants shall respond to the summary judgment motion within thirty (30) days from 27 the date of this Order. 28 U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division

Defendants' Motion to Stay Summary Judgment Proceedings Case No. 2:15-cv-00813-JLR

Case 2:15-cv-00813-JLR Document 27 Filed 07/24/15 Page 7 of 8

1	The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to all counsel of record.				
2					
3	Dated this	day of	, 2015.		
4					
5					
6			The Honorable James L. Robart United States District Judge		
7			_		
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20 21					
$\begin{bmatrix} 21 \\ 22 \end{bmatrix}$					
23					
24					
25					
25 26					
27					
28					
_0					

Defendants' Motion to Stay Summary Judgment Proceedings Case No. 2:15-cv-00813-JLR

1	Presented by:		
2	BENJAMIN C. MIZER		
3	Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General		
4	WILLIAM C. PEACHEY		
5	Director		
6	JEFFREY S. ROBINS		
7	Assistant Director		
8	s/ Sarah Maloney SARAH MALONEY		
9	CRAIG DEFOE		
10	Trial Attorneys U.S. Department of Justice		
11	Civil Division		
12	Office of Immigration Litigation District Court Section		
13	P.O. Box 868, Ben Franklin Station Washington, D.C. 20044		
14	Phone: (202) 305-4193		
15	Fax: (202) 305-7000 Email: Sarah.Maloney2@usdoj.gov		
16	Zinani Sarani Maroney 2 c asaoji go v		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division Office of Immigration Litigation P.O. Box 868, Ben Franklin Station Washington, D.C. 20044 (202) 305-4193

28